

***ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE AGENDA***  
***January 16, 2024***  
***Administration Conference Room***

<b><i><u>ARC Meeting Agenda 1-16-24</u></i></b>				
<b><i>Public Comments</i></b>				
<b><i>Variances/Exceptions:</i></b>				
<b><i><u>Time</u></i></b>	<b><i><u>Sec/Lot</u></i></b>	<b><i><u>Address</u></i></b>	<b><i><u>Discussion</u></i></b>	<b><i><u>Comments</u></i></b>
1:05 p.m.	02/0401	17 Sloop Lane	Exception for a fence to go over the side building restriction lines by approximately 3'.	<i>Approved 5' from side property lines</i>
1:25 p.m.	10/1220	102 Robin Hood Trail	Exception to approve the fence as constructed.	<i>Approved 5' from side property line</i>
1:45 p.m.	05/0081	2 Tiller Lane	Exception for a fence to go over the left side building restriction line by approximately 3'.	<i>Approved 5' from side property line</i>

## **ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE**

**January 16, 2024**

PRESENT: John Dillworth, Kevin Middleton, Susan Holt, Beth Gismondi, Gary Murry  
ALSO PRESENT: Elaine Brady (Board Liaison), Joshua Vickers (Chief Inspector)

---

John called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m.

### **17 Sloop Lane – Exception for a fence to go over the side BRL by approximately 3’:**

Ms. Jensen and her mother came into the meeting to explain their needs for the variance on their fence. They disagreed with the site plan that McGee fence drew. Susan agreed with John that the fence needs to be brought back to the BRL. Mr. Williams, a neighbor from White Horse Drive, had concerns about the fence in the rear, but the homeowner cleared up the issue he had. John made a motion to grant the exception to the 5-foot BRL on right of house and a 10-foot rear and a on the left BRL at 8-foot. The committee also requested an as-built survey and a picture of the fence type. Kevin seconded the motion and all were in favor.

### **102 Robin Hood Trail – Exception to build the fence as constructed (up to the property line):**

Mr. and Mrs. Rose came to the meeting for their needs on the fence. They have explained that they are moving and are selling the property but want to keep the fence for new homeowners. They believed that the County rules were the final set rules, and it was ok to place the fence on the property line when they started building the fence in October. John explained that the fence was approved to the building restriction line and not the property line, and the fence was not built as stated. John believes that the homeowner needs to conform to the plans that they presented. Kevin made a motion to move to the 5-foot BRL line on the right-hand side with the fence and have owners provide a new drawing or survey for the property. Gary seconded the motion and all were in favor. Mr. and Mrs. Rose were very upset to on this verdict. They said that they made a fence that was right even though they violated the permit as presented. They believe they are being discriminated against but will do what they need to do.

### **2 Tiller Lane– Exception to install a fence 3’ over the left side BRL:**

Mr. and Mrs. Demler came in today to explain their need for the fence. John made a motion to allow a 5-foot BRL on the side of property and move that the front fence on the 25-foot BRL and then submit the plans for a permit. Kevin seconded the motion and all were in favor.

### **Minutes**

Kevin made a motion to approve the minutes from the December 19, 2023 meeting, Susan seconded, and all were in favor.

### **Old Business/ New Business**

- John is requesting approval through to March 31<sup>st</sup> for an extension as chair and member of the ARC Committee.
- Talked about the B&F meeting.
- Talked about the upcoming BOD meeting and how the voting will go on the violations.

### **Adjournment**

John moved to adjourn, Gary seconded, and all were in favor. The meeting adjourned at 3:22 p.m.